UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                    | FILING DATE  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|------------------------------------|--|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/550,783                         | 11/09/2006   | Pascal Paganon       | 80350-1440          | 1801             |  |
|                                    | 24504 7590 04/18/2008<br>THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP |                      |                     | EXAMINER         |  |
| 600 GALLERIA PARKWAY, S.E.         |  |                      | TANNER, JOCELIN C   |                  |  |
| STE 1500<br>ATLANTA, GA 30339-5994 |  |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|                                    |  |                      | 4133                |                  |  |
|                                    |  |                      |                     |                  |  |
|                                    |  |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|                                    |  |                      | 04/18/2008          | PAPER            |  |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
|   | 10/550,783   | PAGANON, PASCAL   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |
|   | JOCELIN C. TANNER  | 4133  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a<br>Period for Reply  | appears on the cover sheet with the o  | correspondence address  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION<br>1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir-<br>tiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from<br>titute, cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09   | November 2006  |   |  |  |  |  |
| ·   | his action is non-final.   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   | <del>-</del>   |   |  |  |  |  |
| ,—  | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4)  Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the applicati<br>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without<br>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.<br>6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.<br>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.<br>8)  Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> are subject to restriction and/o  | drawn from consideration.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  | ·  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam  | iner.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the  | Examiner. Note the attached Office   | Action or form PTO-152.   |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreit     a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:     1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documed complete action from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a light of the priority document.   | ents have been received.<br>ents have been received in Applicat<br>riority documents have been receive<br>eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | ion No<br>ed in this National Stage   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Profrescency's Retent Province Review (PTO 048)  | 4)   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>  | 5) Notice of Informal F  |   |  |  |  |  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 371.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 17-26, drawn to a product.

Group II, claim(s) 27-31, drawn to a method of fabricating the product.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the common technical feature in all groups is a flexible bag or balloon coated with parylene inserted into the stomach to treat obesity. This element cannot be a special technical feature under PCT Rule 13.2 because the special technical feature lacks novelty and an inventive step because it is disclosed by the combination of: US Patent No. 4,694,827 and US Patent No. 5,976,178. US Patent No. 4,694,827 teaches the use of a flexible balloon used for treating obesity as referred to in claims 17 and 27. US Patent No. 5,976,178 teaches a graft structure having a coating of parylene on both inside and outside of the tube, as discussed in claims 17 and 27, to enhance bio-compatibility and a high degree of smoothness.

Page 3

2. A telephone call was made to James Kayden on 2/20/2008 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

3. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product

Application/Control Number: 10/550,783

Page 4

Art Unit: 4133

are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOCELIN C. TANNER whose telephone number is (571)270-5202. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday between 9am and 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frantz Coby can be reached on 571-272-4017. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/550,783 Page 5

Art Unit: 4133

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jocelin C. Tanner/ Examiner, Art Unit 4133

4/11/2008

/Frantz Coby/ Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 4133